

CLAUDIA CRUZ-AGUILAR,
Plaintiff,
vs.
WAL-MART SUPERCENTER,
d/b/a Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.,
Defendants.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Case No. 2:15-cv-01965-APG-CWH
ORDER

The Court has reviewed the proposed discovery plan and finds that it does not comply with Local Rule (“LR”) 26-1. Absent a court order, “discovery periods longer than one hundred eighty (180) days from the date the first defendant answers or appears will require special scheduling review.” LR 26-1(e)(1). Additionally, parties that request a discovery period that is longer or different must provide “a statement of the reasons why longer or different time periods should apply to the case.” LR 26-1(d).

//

1 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the parties' Joint Discovery Plan and
2 Scheduling Order (doc. # 10) is **denied**. The parties are directed to file an amended discovery plan,
3 **no later than January 14, 2016**, that complies with this Court's local rules.

4 DATED: January 7, 2016

5
6 
7 _____
8 **C.W. Hoffman, Jr.**
9 **United States Magistrate Judge**
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28